arXiv:1602.01613v1 [math.PR] 4 Feb 2016

GENERALIZED PICKANDS CONSTANTS AND STATIONARY MAX-STABLE PROCESSES

KRZYSZTOF DĘBICKI, SEBASTIAN ENGELKE, AND ENKELEJD HASHORVA

ABSTRACT. Pickands constants play a crucial role in the asymptotic theory of Gaussian processes. They are commonly defined as the limits of a sequence of expectations involving fractional Brownian motions and, as such, their exact value is often unknown. Recently, Dieker and Yakir (2014) derived a novel representation of Pickands constant as a simple expected value that does not involve a limit operation. In this paper we show that the notion of Pickands constants and their corresponding Dieker–Yakir representations can be extended to a large class of stochastic processes, including general Gaussian and Lévy processes. We furthermore provide a link to spatial extreme value theory and show that Pickands-type constants coincide with certain constants arising in the study of max-stable processes with mixed moving maxima representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The asymptotic behavior of the tail probabilities of the supremum of a Gaussian process $\{X(t), t \in [0,T]\}$, $T > 0$, with continuous sample paths is well understood for a wide class of correlation structures of X. Its general form, that is valid for both the classical Pickands' theorem for the centered stationary case and the result by Piterbarg for the non-stationary case, shows that for any $\delta \geq 0$ (set $\delta\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{R}$ if $\delta = 0$)

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} X(t) > u\right\} \sim \mathcal{H}_W^\delta C u^a e^{-u^2/b}, \quad u \to \infty, \tag{1}$$

holds under some mild regularity conditions on the correlation and the variance function of X (Pickands, 1969; Berman, 1982, 1992; Piterbarg, 1996, 2015; Dębicki et al., 2015). Here all the positive constants a, b, C are explicitly known, whereas the constant $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$, which is referred to as Pickands constant, is given by the following limit

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \lim_{T\to\infty} T^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} e^{W(t)}\right\} \in (0,\infty), \quad W(t) = \sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - |t|^\alpha, \tag{2}$$

where $\{B_\alpha(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a centered fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $\alpha/2 \in (0,1]$, that is, a mean zero Gaussian processes with continuous sample paths and covariance function

$$\mathrm{Cov}\{B_\alpha(s), B_\alpha(t)\} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(|t|^\alpha + |s|^\alpha - |t-s|^\alpha\Big), s, t \geq 0.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G15,60G70.

Key words and phrases. Brown–Resnick process, fractional Brownian motion, Gaussian process, generalized Pickands constant, Lévy process, max-stable process, mixed moving maxima representation.

The only known values of $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ are for $\delta = 0$ if $\alpha = 1, 2$. Numerous papers have considered the calculation of Pickands constants, with particular focus on the case $\delta = 0$; see for instance Shao (1996); Hüsler and Piterbarg (1999); Dębicki et al. (2003); Dębicki (2005); Dębicki and Kisowski (2008); Harper (2013, 2015).
Recently, the seminal contribution Dieker and Yakir (2014) derived the alternative representation for $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^\delta}{S^\eta}\right\}, \quad \forall \delta = \eta > 0, \text{ or } \delta = 0, \eta \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

where

$$M^\delta = \sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} e^{W(t)}, \quad S^\eta = \eta \sum_{t\in\eta\mathbb{Z}} e^{W(t)}, \quad S^0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{W(t)}\, dt. \tag{4}$$

The principal advantage of *Dieker–Yakir representation* (3) is that it is given as an expectation rather than as a limit, which is particularly useful for Monte Carlo simulations of $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$.
Pickands constants traditionally also appear in Gumbel limit theorems, see e.g., Berman (1992); Piterbarg (2004). Such limit theorems are recently formulated for max-stable processes and provide a first link of classical Gaussian tail asymptotics to spatial extreme value theory. Specifically, Dieker and Mikosch (2015) showed that (see also Stoev, 2010; Davis et al., 2013)

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} \xi_W(t) \leq x + \ln T\right\} = \exp\left\{-\mathcal{H}_W^\delta \exp(-x)\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5}$$

where the so-called Brown–Resnick process ξ_W is defined as

$$\xi_W(t) = \max_{i\geq 1}(P_i + W_i(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6}$$

Here $\Pi = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \varepsilon_{P_i}$ is a Poisson point process with intensity $e^{-x}dx$, and $W_i, i \geq 1$, are independent copies of W, also independent of Π. We denote by ε_x the unit Dirac measure at $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The Brown–Resnick process ξ_W is both max-stable and stationary (Kabluchko et al., 2009; Kabluchko, 2009, 2011; Molchanov and Stucki, 2013; Molchanov et al., 2014). The stationarity means that the processes $\{\xi_W(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{\xi_W(t + h), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ have the same distribution for any $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the process ξ_W arises naturally as the limit of suitably normalized pointwise maxima of independent copies of stationary Gaussian processes (Kabluchko et al., 2009, Theorem 17). This makes this class of processes a widely-used model in the risk assessment of spatial extreme events. The result in (5) states that $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ coincides with the so-called *extremal index* of the stationary, max-stable process ξ_W, a quantity that summarizes the temporal extremal dependence (c.f., Leadbetter, 1983).
Another interesting representation of Pickands constant for $\delta > 0$ in the case of fractional Brownian motion in Dieker and Yakir (2014) is

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta \quad = \quad \frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} W(t) = 0\right\} =: C_W^\delta. \tag{7}$$

Surprisingly, the constant C_W^δ appears in the moving maxima representation of ξ_W restricted on $\delta\mathbb{Z}$; see Theorem 8 and Remark 9 in Oesting et al. (2012). In the aforementioned contribution, the constant C_W^δ has already been evaluated numerically for different values of δ in order to simulate samples from the max-stable

process ξ_W. This underlines the connection between spatial extremes and classical asymptotic theory of Gaussian processes.

The objective of this paper is twofold. On the one hand, we consider generalized Pickands constants $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ in (2), where W is replaced by more general stochastic processes than fractional Brownian motions, which are not necessarily Gaussian. We are then interested in finding conditions for the existence and positiveness of the limit in (2), and in deriving equivalent representations of these constants. More precisely, we show that for W chosen such that ξ_W is max-stable and stationary, generalized Pickands constants can be defined in $(0, \infty)$, and, most notably, that they admit a Dieker–Yakir type representation (3) under certain conditions.
On the other hand, we explore the connection between mixed moving maxima processes and generalized Pickands constants that is suggested by equation (7). Our findings are beneficial for both the theory of extremes of max-stable stationary processes, and the asymptotic theory of random processes. In particular, we show that $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = C_W^\delta$, which holds not only for $\delta > 0$ but also in the classical case $\delta = 0$. This shows that calculation of the classical Pickands constant is related to the simulation of the corresponding max-stable processes discussed above.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce generalized Pickands constants $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ and give conditions under which they admit a Dieker–Yakir type representation. Examples for the process W will be general Gaussian processes with stationary increments and Lévy processes. The connection of the constants $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ to mixed moving maxima processes is investigated in Section 3. This link will provide a simple proof of the positiveness of generalized Pickands constants. All proofs are given in Section 4. The Appendix comprises some facts on discrete mixed moving maxima representations which are needed in Section 3.

2. Generalized Pickands constants

Let $\{B(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a stochastic process on the space D of càdlàg functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $B(0) = 0$ and finite $\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{B(t)}\right\} < \infty$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We introduce the drifted process

$$W(t) = B(t) - \ln \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{B(t)}\right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{8}$$

and note that it satisfies $\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{W(t)}\right\} = 1$. We can therefore define the corresponding max-stable process ξ_W by the construction (6) which has standard Gumbel margins Throughout, we will assume that W is chosen such that the process ξ_W is stationary and has càdlàg sample paths; see Proposition 6 in Kabluchko et al. (2009) for a general stationarity criterion.

In this section we introduce the *generalized Pickands constant* of the process W on the grid $\delta\mathbb{Z}$ for $\delta \geq 0$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}\left\{ \sup_{t \in \delta\mathbb{Z} \cap [0,T]} e^{W(t)} \right\}. \tag{9}$$

The existence of the expected value in (9) when $\delta = 0$ is equivalent to the assumption that ξ_W has càdlàg sample paths (Dombry and Kabluchko, 2014). However, the existence and finiteness of the limit as $T \to \infty$ is not obvious. In the sequel, we investigate:

a) the existence of the constant $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$,
b) its finiteness and positivity,

c) equivalent representations that can for instance be used for efficient approximations.

In Section 2.1 we discuss question a) in a general setting. For question b) and c) we will concentrate on two important examples for W such that the above assumptions are satisfied. In Section 2.2 we consider the general Gaussian case, where

- B is a sample continuous centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and variance function $\sigma^2(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$. With
$$W(t) = B(t) - \sigma^2(t)/2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
the process ξ_W is max-stable and stationary. Its law depends only on the variogram $\gamma(t) = \mathrm{Var}(B(t) - B(0))$ and we can therefore assume without loss of generality that $W(0) = 0$; see Kabluchko et al. (2009); Kabluchko (2011) for details.

The generalized Pickands constant can also be defined for non-Gaussian processes. In Section 2.3 we investigate the case where

- $\{B^+(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy process such that $\Phi(\theta) = \ln \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\theta B^+(1)}\right\}$ is finite for $\theta = 1$ and set
$$W(t) = B^+(t) - \Phi(1)t, \quad t \geq 0.$$
If $\{W(t), t \leq 0\}$ is defined as an exponentially transformed version of the corresponding $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$, then ξ_W can be shown to be stationary and max-stable; see Stoev (2008); Engelke and Kabluchko (2015) for details.

Clearly, these are not the only examples. For instance, a slight generalization is to introduce an independent mixing random variable $S > 0$ and taking $W(t) = SB(t) - S^2\sigma^2(t)/2$ in (8). We retrieve the variance-mixed Brown–Resnick process ξ_W, which is both max-stable and stationary (Engelke et al., 2015; Strokorb et al., 2015).

2.1. **Existence and positivity of $\mathcal{H}^\delta_W$.** In order to prove the existence of the generalized Pickands constant $\mathcal{H}^\delta_W$ we do not need any further assumptions on the process W. In fact, the stationarity of the process ξ_W and the existing theory of max-stable processes is sufficient to give an immediate answer to a) and partially to b) above. Indeed, for any compact $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ we define $H_W(E) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in E} e^{W(t)}\right\}$ and observe that

$$-\ln \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in E} \xi_W(t) \leq x\right\} = H_W(E)e^{-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{10}$$

Consequently, by stationarity of ξ_W for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $H_W(a + E) = H_W(E)$, where $a + E := \{a + x : x \in E\}$. Since for any disjoint, non-empty compact sets $E_1, E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} H_W(E_1 \cup E_2) &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in E_1\cup E_2} e^{W(t)}\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in E_1} e^{W(t)}\right\} + \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in E_2} e^{W(t)}\right\} \\ &= H_W(E_1) + H_W(E_2), \end{aligned}$$

the set-function $H_W(\cdot)$, restricted on the sets $\delta\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, T], T > 0$, is subadditive and by Fekete's Lemma

$$\mathcal{H}^\delta_W = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{H_W(\delta\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, T])}{T} = \inf_{T>0} \frac{H_W(\delta\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, T])}{T} \in [0, \infty). \tag{11}$$

Therefore, the limit in (9) as $T \to \infty$ exists and is finite. Furthermore, in the case that $\delta > 0$, then (11) immediately implies $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta \leq 1/\delta$.

The following lemma is crucial for investigating the structure of $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ and establishing Dieker–Yakir type representations. It extends Lemma 5.2 in Dieker and Mikosch (2015), where it was considered for the case that $W(t) = B(t) - \sigma^2(t)/2$ with B a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and variance function σ^2.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that W is such that the process ξ_W in (6) is max-stable and stationary, and $W(t_0) = 0$ for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. If Γ is a Borel measurable, positive functional on D that is invariant under addition of any constant function, then, given that the expectations below exist,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{W(t_0+t)}\Gamma(W)\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{\Gamma(\theta_t W)\right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{12}$$

where θ_t is the shift operator, that is, $\theta_t W(s) = W(s-t)$.

An application of equation (12) yields a way of rewriting the expectation in (9); see Corollary 2 in Dieker and Yakir (2014).

Lemma 2. *If μ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ or the counting measure on $(k\delta)\mathbb{Z} \cap [0,T]$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}, \delta > 0$, then*

$$\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} e^{W(t)}\right\} = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-uT,(1-u)T]} e^{W(s)}}{\int_{-uT}^{(1-u)T} e^{W(s)}\mu(ds)}\right\}\mu^T(du), \tag{13}$$

with $\mu^T(du) = \mu(Tdu)/T$.

Using the result of Lemma 2, we establish a Dieker–Yakir representation of $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ for $\delta > 0$ and then show that $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ is strictly positive for $\delta \geq 0$.

Theorem 1. *Let W be such that the corresponding max-stable Brown–Resnick process ξ_W is stationary and has càdlàg paths. If for a given $\delta > 0$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left\{S^\delta < \infty\right\} = 1$, then*

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^\delta}{S^\delta}\right\} > 0 \tag{14}$$

Further, if $\delta \geq 0$ and $\eta = k\delta$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta \geq \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^\delta}{S^\eta}\right\} > 0. \tag{15}$$

The restriction $\delta > 0$ in (14) is somehow unsatisfactory. In the sequel we therefore consider two important special cases where we can strengthen the above results to

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^\delta}{S^\eta}\right\} \in (0,\infty), \quad \delta = 0, \eta \geq 0 \text{ or } \delta > 0, \eta = k\delta, k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{16}$$

which is motivated by the findings of Dieker and Yakir (2014) for $W(t) = \sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - |t|^\alpha$. Therein (16) is shown if W is a fractional Brownian motion and $\delta = 0, \eta > 0$ or $\delta = \eta > 0$.

2.2. **Gaussian case.** First, we consider the case where $W(t) = B(t) - \sigma^2(t)/2$, with B a centered, sample continuous Gaussian process that has stationary increments and variance function σ^2, and $W(0) = 0$ almost surely. In view of Kabluchko et al. (2009), the corresponding ξ_W is max-stable and stationary. In order to apply Theorem 1 we have to ensure that $S^\delta < \infty$ almost surely. To this end, we can require the weak assumption that

$$\liminf_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{\ln t} > 8, \tag{17}$$

which by Corollary 2.4 in Marcus (1972) implies

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} W(t) = -\infty. \tag{18}$$

Theorem 6.1 in Wang and Stoev (2010) then yields that $S^\delta < \infty$ almost surely. Consequently, under (17) and by Theorem 1 we obtain the positivity and Dieker–Yakir representation of $\mathcal{H}^\delta_W, \delta > 0$.

In order to deal with the case $\delta = 0$, we need slightly stronger conditions on σ^2, namely we shall assume that there is an ultimately monotone, non-decreasing function $\ell : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ and a constant $c \in (0,1]$ such that for all t large

$$c\ell(t) \le \sigma^2(t) \le \ell(t), \quad \text{where } \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ell(t)}{\ell(t+k)} = 1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{19}$$

holds. Clearly, (19) is satisfied for σ^2 being a regularly varying function with index $\alpha > 0$. Note in passing that the stationarity of increments implies that $\alpha \le 2$, see also Lemma 2.1 in Marcus (1972) for the existence of such Gaussian processes.

Theorem 2. *Let W be a Gaussian process as above whose variance function σ^2 satisfies condition (19) with $c \in (0,1]$ such that $c^2 + 8c - 8 > 0$. If further*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ell(t)}{\ln t} > \frac{8}{c^2 + 8c - 8}, \tag{20}$$

then the generalized Pickands constant $\mathcal{H}^\delta_W$ possesses a Dieker–Yakir representation

$$\mathcal{H}^\delta_W = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^\delta}{S^\eta}\right\} \in (0,\infty), \tag{21}$$

which is valid for $\delta = 0$ and $\eta \ge 0$, or $\delta > 0$ and $\eta = k\delta, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.
a) *Conditions (19) and (20) are much weaker than the assumption that σ^2 is regularly varying at infinity. In Dębicki (2002) the positivity and finiteness of $\mathcal{H}^0_W$ is shown under the two conditions C1 and C2 therein, which imply that σ^2 is a smooth, regularly varying function at infinity and zero.*
b) *Note that if $c = 1$, then (20) agrees with (17).*
c) *The validity of (7) can be shown under the assumptions of Theorem 2 by borrowing the arguments of Dieker and Yakir (2014).*

2.3. **Lévy case.** In Engelke and Kabluchko (2015), the so-called Lévy–Brown–Resnick processes are introduced as ξ_W, where W is composition of two independent Lévy processes. More precisely, suppose that $\{B^+(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Lévy process such that its Laplace exponent $\Phi(\theta) = \ln \mathbb{E}\{\exp\{\theta B^+(1)\}\}$ is finite for $\theta = 1$. Define $-W^-$ to be the exponentially tilted version of

$$W^+(t) = B^+(t) - \Phi(1)t, \quad t \ge 0,$$

that is, the Laplace exponent of W^- is $\ln \mathbb{E}\{\exp\{\theta W^-(1)\}\} = \Phi(1-\theta)-(1-\theta)\Phi(1)$. For two independent processes W^+ and W^- we define $W(t) = W^+(t), t \geq 0$, and $W(t) = W^-(-t)$ if $t < 0$. With this definition the corresponding process ξ_W is indeed max-stable and stationary; for details see Engelke and Kabluchko (2015) and Engelke and Ivanovs (2014).

In the case where B^+ is a spectrally negative Lévy process, Engelke and Kabluchko (2015) computed the extremal index of the corresponding max-stable process ξ_W explicitly. In view of (5), this index coincides with Pickands constant of the process B^+, and it is therefore given as $\mathcal{H}_W = \Phi'(1)$.

For more general examples than spectrally negative Lévy processes, we show below that the Pickands constant $\mathcal{H}_W$ in the Lévy case possesses a Dieker–Yakir type representation. In fact, by Engelke and Kabluchko (2015) it follows that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and thus $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ exists and is strictly positive. In what follows we suppose that B^+ is not a Poisson process with lattice support of jump distribution.

Theorem 3. *Let $B^+(t), t \in [0,\infty)$ and $W(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ be as above.*
(1) If $\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(2+\varepsilon)|W(1)|}\right\} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(2+\varepsilon)|W(-1)|}\right\} < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_W^0 = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^0}{S^0}\right\} \in (0,\infty). \tag{22}$$

(2) If $\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)|W(1)|}\right\} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)|W(-1)|}\right\} < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^\delta}{S^\eta}\right\} \in (0,\infty), \quad \delta = 0, \eta > 0 \text{ or } \delta > 0, \eta = k\delta, k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{23}$$

Remark 2. *Theorem 3 holds if both the left and the right tail probability of $W(1)$ is sufficiently light; for example if $\Phi(\theta) < \infty$ for $\theta \in (-2-\varepsilon, 3+\varepsilon)$ for scenario (1) and $\theta \in (-1-\varepsilon, 2+\varepsilon)$ for scenario (2). We conjecture that the claim of Theorem 3 is true under weaker assumptions on W.*

3. A connection to mixed moving maxima processes

As in the previous section, let W with $W(0) = 0$ a.s. be a càdlàg process such that the corresponding ξ_W is max-stable and stationary. The process ξ_W is said to admit a mixed moving maxima representation (for short M3) if

$$\xi_W(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \max_{i\geq 1}(F_i(t-P_i)+Q_i), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{24}$$

where the F_i's are independent copies of a measurable càdlàg process $F_W(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, with

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} F_W(t) = F_W(0) = 0 \tag{25}$$

almost surely, and

$$C_W = \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(F_W(t))\,dt\right\}\right)^{-1} \in (0,\infty). \tag{26}$$

Here, $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \varepsilon_{(P_i,Q_i)}$ is a Poisson point process in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with intensity $C_W dt\, \exp(-y)dy$. Note that the normalization of the supremum of F_W to 0 in (25) is crucial since otherwise the constant $C_W > 0$ would not be well-defined. Furthermore, C_W ensures that the margins of ξ_W are standard Gumbel distributions and it appears

thus naturally in the theory of max-stable processes. It plays a crucial role in the simulation of such processes but its numerical evaluation is time intensive and the exact value is, apart from special cases, unknown (Oesting et al., 2012).

Throughout this section we assume that ξ_W possesses a M3 representation which amounts to assuming one of the equivalent conditions below; for details see Wang and Stoev (2010) and Theorem 2 in Dombry and Kabluchko (2016).

Condition 1. *We assume that one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*

(1) *The max-stable process* $\{\xi_W, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *possesses a M3 representation.*
(2) *The max-stable process* $\{\xi_W, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *has no conservative component in its spectral representation.*
(3) *The process* $\{W(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *satisfies*

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} W(t) = -\infty, \quad a.s.$$

(4) *The process* $\{W(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *fulfills*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{W(t)} dt < \infty, \quad a.s.$$

Since we are interested also in the case $\delta > 0$, we show in the Appendix how to derive an M3 representation for the discretized process $\xi_W^\delta = \{\xi_W(t), t \in \delta\mathbb{Z}\}$, with shape functions F_W^δ and constant

$$C_W^\delta = \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} \exp(F_W^\delta(t))\nu_\delta(dt)\right\}\right)^{-1} \in (0,\infty), \quad \delta > 0.$$

Here, ν_δ/δ for $\delta > 0$ is the counting measure on $\delta\mathbb{Z}$, and $\nu = \nu_0$ is the Lebesgue measure. In the sequel the superscript is omitted if it is 0, for instance we write C_W and $\mathcal{H}_W$ instead of C_W^0 and $\mathcal{H}_W^0$, respectively. The M3 representation of ξ_W allows us to show a new formula for $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ and relate it to C_W^δ. Moreover, we prove that C_W^δ is exactly what we refer to as the Dieker–Yakir representation of Pickands constant.

Theorem 4. *If* ξ_W^δ *possesses an M3 representation, then for any* $\delta \geq 0$

$$0 < C_W^\delta = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^\delta}{S^\delta}\right\} \leq \mathcal{H}_W^\delta. \tag{27}$$

Remark 3. *For any* $\delta > 0$*, in view of* (14) *in Theorem 1 and* (27)*, we have the equality*

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = C_W^\delta > 0.$$

Except for few special cases, the exact value of Pickands constant is unknown. There are several attempts to assess its value by Monte Carlo simulation, most notably via the recent Dieker–Yakir representation in Dieker and Yakir (2014). The above Corollary states that the simulation problem of Pickands constant $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ is equivalent to the problem of simulating the constants C_W^δ in spatial extreme value theory, provided that ξ_W admits an M3 representation and the Dieker–Yakir representation for $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ holds. This is a fruitful observation since there is active research on the simulation of max-stable processes (Dieker and Mikosch, 2015; Dombry et al., 2016) and even of the constant C_W^δ (Oesting et al., 2012). We conclude this section with several examples.

Example 1. *If $W(t) = \sqrt{2}Zt - t^2$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where Z is an $N(0,1)$ random variable it is known (Wang and Stoev, 2010) that ξ_W has an M3 representation with deterministic shape functions $F_W(t) = -t^2, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus*

$$C_W = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t^2}\, dt\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}},$$

and consequently, by Theorem 2 and 4 we recover the well-known fact $\mathcal{H}_W = 1/\sqrt{\pi}$.

If $W(t) = \sqrt{2}B(t) - |t|, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where B is a standard Brownian motion, then it follows by Engelke et al. (2011) that ξ_W has an M3 representation whose shape functions F are given by a three-dimensional Bessel process and that $C_W = 1$. Thus, again by Theorem 2 and 4 we recover $\mathcal{H}_W = C_W = 1$ (Piterbarg, 2015).

Example 2. *Suppose that W is a sample continuous Gaussian process with stationary increments that fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 2. Since in this case (17) holds and thus Condition 1 is satisfied, ξ_W admits an M3 representation and in view of Theorem 4 $\mathcal{H}_W^\delta$ is positive for any $\delta > 0$ and*

$$C_W^\delta = \frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} W(t) = 0\right\} = \mathcal{H}_W^\delta.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{\delta\downarrow 0} C_W^\delta = C_W = \mathcal{H}_W = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^0}{S^0}\right\}.$$

Example 3. *If W is as in Section 2.3, Engelke and Ivanovs (2014) show that the Lévy-Brown–Resnick process ξ_W admits an M3 representation where the constant C_W is explicitly given by*

$$C_W = \frac{\underline{k}(0,1)}{\underline{k}'(0,0)} > 0,$$

where $\underline{k}$ is the bivariate Laplace exponent of the descending ladder process corresponding to W. In particular, this implies that for $\delta = 0$ by Theorem 4 $\mathcal{H}_W \geq C_W$ and thus

$$\mathcal{H}_W \geq \frac{\underline{k}(0,1)}{\underline{k}'(0,0)} > 0. \tag{28}$$

In order to have equality in the equation above, it is sufficient that the process W satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3, since then

$$\mathcal{H}_W = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{M^0}{S^0}\right\} = C_W.$$

4. Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1: It is well-known that the stationarity of ξ_W is equivalent to the fact that for arbitrary $h \in \mathbb{R}$ the two Poisson point processes $\{U_i + W_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{U_i + \theta_h W_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on D have the same intensity; see Kabluchko et al. (2009). The latter holds if and only if for any Borel subset A

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u}\mathbb{P}\{u + W \in A\}\, du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u}\mathbb{P}\{u + \theta_h W \in A\}\, du.$$

Let $B \subset D$ be a shift-invariant Borel set in the sense that $B+x = B$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and recall that $W(t_0) = 0$ almost surely. Consequently, for any $h \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{W(t_0+h)}\mathbf{1}\{W \in B\}\right\} &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u}\mathbf{1}\{u + W(t_0+h) > 0\}\mathbf{1}\{W \in B\}du\right\} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u}\mathbb{P}\left\{u + W(t_0+h) > 0, u + W \in B\right\} du \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u}\mathbb{P}\left\{u + W(t_0) > 0, u + \theta_h W \in B\right\} du \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u}\mathbf{1}\{u > 0\}\mathbb{P}\left\{u + \theta_h W \in B\right\} du \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left\{\theta_h W \in B\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the above readily extends to Borel measurable, positive functionals Γ on D that are invariant under addition of a constant function and, thus, the assertion follows. □

Proof of Lemma 2: Define the translation invariant functional

$$\Gamma(f) = \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} e^{f(s)}}{\int_0^T e^{f(s)}\mu(ds)}.$$

Clearly, we have that for any $t \in (k\delta)\mathbb{Z}$

$$\Gamma(\theta_t f) = \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} e^{f(s-t)}}{\int_0^T e^{f(s-t)}\mu(ds)} = \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-t,T-t]} e^{f(s)}}{\int_0^T e^{f(s-t)}\mu(ds)} = \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-t,T-t]} e^{f(s)}}{\int_{-t}^{T-t} e^{f(s)}\mu(ds)},$$

where the last equality follows by the translation invariance of μ. Hence, as in the proof of Corollary 2 in Dieker and Yakir (2014) a direct application of Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} e^{W(t)}\right\} &= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{W(t)}\frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} e^{W(s)}}{\int_0^T e^{W(s)}\mu(ds)}\right\}\mu(dt) \\
&= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{W(t)}\Gamma(W)\right\}\mu(dt) \\
&= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left\{\Gamma(\theta_t W)\right\}\mu(dt) \\
&= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-t,T-t]} e^{W(s)}}{\int_{-t}^{T-t} e^{W(s)}\mu(ds)}\right\}\mu(dt).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (13) follows by changing the variable $t = uT$. □

Proof of Theorem 1: Let first $\eta = \delta > 0$, then if λ_δ denotes the counting measure on $\delta\mathbb{Z}$, then applying (13) with $\mu = \lambda_\delta$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} e^{W(t)}\right\} = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-uT,(1-u)T]} e^{W(s)}}{\delta\int_{-uT}^{(1-u)T} e^{W(s)}\mu(ds)}\right\}\delta\mu^T(du).$$

By the assumption that $S^\delta = \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{W(s)}\mu(ds) < \infty$ it follows that $\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} e^{W(s)} < \infty$ and $\lim_{|n|\to\infty, n\in\mathbb{Z}} W(n\delta) = -\infty$ almost surely. Hence the almost sure convergence

$$g_{T,\delta}(u) = \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-uT,(1-u)T]} e^{W(s)}}{\delta \int_{-uT}^{(1-u)T} e^{W(s)}\mu(ds)} \to \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} e^{W(s)}}{\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{W(s)}\mu(ds)} = \mathcal{Q}_\delta \le \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad T\to\infty$$

holds for any $u \in (0,1)$. Clearly, the above convergence remains true if we replace u by a sequence $u_T, T > 0$ such that $\lim_{T\to\infty} u_T = u \in (0,1)$. Since for any $u \in (0,1), T > 0$ we have $g_{T,\delta}(u) \le 1/\delta$ we obtain for any $u \in (0,1)$ by dominated convergence

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{g_{T,\delta}(u_T)\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathcal{Q}_\delta\right\}.$$

Since $\delta\mu^T$ converges weakly to the Lebesgue measure as $T\to\infty$, Theorem 5.5 in Billingsley (1968) implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \lim_{T\to\infty} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left\{g_{T,\delta}(u)\right\} \delta\mu^T(du) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathcal{Q}_\delta\right\}\, du = \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathcal{Q}_\delta\right\}$$

establishing the first claim in (14).
Next, if $\mu = \lambda_\eta$ with $\eta = k\delta, k = 0,1,\ldots,$ or $\eta > 0, \delta = 0$, by (13) and Theorem 1.1 in Feinberg et al. (2014) for any $u \in (0,1), T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_W^\delta &= \lim_{T\to\infty} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left\{g_{T,\eta}(u)\right\} \nu_\eta^T(du) \\
&\ge \int_0^1 \liminf_{T\to\infty, v\to u} \mathbb{E}\left\{g_{T,\eta}(v)\right\}\, du \\
&\ge \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left\{\liminf_{T\to\infty, v\to u} g_{T,\eta}(v)\right\}\, du \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathcal{Q}_\eta\right\} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

hence (15) follows. □

Proof of Theorem 2: Our assumptions on σ^2 imply that (17) holds, and thus $\delta\sum_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} e^{W(t)} < \infty$ almost surely for any $\delta \ge 0$. Recall that we interpret $\delta\sum_{t\in\delta Z} e^{W(t)}$ as $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{W(t)}\, dt$ when $\delta = 0$. Consequently, for any $\delta, \eta \ge 0$, we have the almost sure convergence

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta} &= \frac{M^\delta[-uT,(1-u)T]}{S^\eta[-uT,(1-u)T]} \\
&:= \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-uT,(1-u)T]} e^{W(s)}}{\eta\sum_{s\in\eta\mathbb{Z}\cap[-uT,(1-u)T]} e^{W(s)}} \to \frac{\sup_{s\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} e^{W(s)}}{\eta\sum_{s\in\eta\mathbb{Z}} e^{W(s)}} \in (0,\infty)
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

for all $u \in (0,1), T\to\infty$. Together with (13), the claim of the theorem therefore follows if we can show the uniform integrability

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} \sup_{T>0} \sup_{u\in(0,1)} \mathbb{E}\left\{R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}; R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta} > A\right\} = 0.$$

In order to give a self-contained proof (which follows along the same ideas as in Dieker and Yakir (2014)) we introduce the same notation as therein. Namely, we let $a_j = j$ and we define $J_j = [a_j, a_{j+1})$, $J_{-j} = (-a_{j+1}, -a_j]$, $S_j^\eta = \eta\sum_{k:\eta k\in J_j} e^{W(\eta k)}$,

$M_j^\eta = \sup_{k:\eta k\in J_j} e^{W(\eta k)}$ and S^η, M^η as in (4). Note that in the aforementioned paper our W corresponds to Z.

Fix some $\lambda > 0$ and define $W^\lambda(t) = W(\lambda\lfloor t/\lambda\rfloor), t > 0$, and $W^\lambda(t) = W(\lambda\lceil t/\lambda\rceil)$ otherwise. We have

$$\frac{M_j^\lambda}{S_j^\lambda} \le \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \frac{M_j^\delta}{M_j^\lambda} = e^{\sup_{s\in J_j} W^\delta(s) - \sup_{s\in J_j} W^\lambda(s)} \le e^{\sup_{s\in J_j}\left|W^\delta(s)-W^\lambda(s)\right|}$$

and

$$\frac{S_j^\lambda}{S_j^\eta} = \frac{\int_{a_j}^{a_{j+1}} e^{W^\lambda(t)-W^\eta(t)} e^{W^\eta(t)}\,dt}{\int_{a_j}^{a_{j+1}} e^{W^\eta(t)}\,dt} \le e^{\sup_{s\in J_j}\left|W^\eta(s)-W^\lambda(s)\right|}.$$

On the event $\{M^\delta = M_j^\delta\}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have (assume that $uT, (1-u)T \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta} &\le \frac{M_j^\delta}{S_j^\eta} = \frac{M_j^\lambda}{S_j^\lambda}\frac{M_j^\delta}{M_j^\lambda}\frac{S_j^\lambda}{S_j^\eta} \\ &\le \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{\sup_{s\in J_j}\left|B^\delta(s)-B^\lambda(s)\right| + \sup_{s\in J_j}\left|B^\eta(s)-B^\lambda(s)\right| + \kappa_\lambda(j)} =: R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}(j), \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $B(t) = W(t) + \sigma^2(t)/2$ is a centered Gaussian process and

$$\kappa_\lambda(j) := \sup_{s\in J_j} \left|Var(W(s)) - Var(W^\lambda(s))\right|.$$

Since $M^\delta[-uT, (1-u)T] \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left\{R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}; R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta} > A\right\} \\ &= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}\left\{R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}; R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta} > A, M_j^\delta = M^\delta\right\} \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\left\{R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}; R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta} > A, M_0^\delta = M^\delta\right\} + 2\sum_{j\ge 1} \mathbb{E}\left\{R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}(j); R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}(j) > A, M_j^\delta \ge 1\right\} \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\left\{M_0^\delta/S_0^\eta; M_0^\delta/S_0^\eta > A\right\} \\ &\qquad + 2\sum_{j\ge 1} \mathbb{E}\left\{R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}(j); R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta}(j) > A, \sup_{s\in J_j} B^\delta(s) \ge \inf_{s\in J_j} \sigma^2(\delta\lfloor s/\delta\rfloor)/2\right\} \\ &=: \mathbb{E}\left\{M_0^\delta/S_0^\eta; M_0^\delta/S_0^\eta > A\right\} + 2\sum_{j\ge 1} \pi_j(A). \end{aligned}$$

In the following $C > 0$ may change from line to line. We note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{M_0^\delta/S_0^\eta; M_0^\delta/S_0^\eta > A\right\} \to 0$$

as $A \to \infty$ since $\mathbb{E}\left\{M_0^\delta/S_0^\eta\right\} < \infty$. For all $t, s \in J_j$ and by (19) for all a_j large enough, by the monotonicity of ℓ

$$\inf_{s\in J_j} \sigma^2(\delta\lfloor s/\delta\rfloor)/2 \ge c\ell(\delta\lfloor a_j/\delta\rfloor), \quad \sup_{s\in J_j} Var(B^\delta(s)) \le \ell(\delta\lfloor (a_j+1)/\delta\rfloor).$$

Since for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{s\in J_j} B^\delta(s)\right\} &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{s\in J_j}[B^\delta(s)-B^\delta(j)+B^\delta(j)]\right\}\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{s\in[0,1]}(B^\delta(s+j)-B^\delta(j))\right\}\\ &\le C\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is consequence of

$$\sup_{s\in[0,1]} Var(B^\delta(s+j)-B^\delta(j)) = \sup_{s\in[0,1]}\sigma^2(\delta[\lfloor(s+j)/\delta\rfloor-\lfloor j/\delta\rfloor]) < C,$$

then by Borell-TIS inequality (see, e.g., Samorodnitsky (1991))

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{s\in J_j} B^\delta(s) \ge \inf_{s\in J_j}\sigma^2(\delta\lfloor s/\delta\rfloor)/2\right\} &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{s\in J_j} B^\delta(s) \ge c\ell(\delta\lfloor a_j/\delta\rfloor)/2\right\}\\ &\le C\exp\left((1-\varepsilon_1)\frac{c^2\ell^2(\delta\lfloor a_j/\delta\rfloor)}{8\ell(\delta\lfloor(a_j+1)/\delta\rfloor)}\right)\\ &\le C\exp\left(-(1-\varepsilon_2)\frac{c^2\ell(a_j+1)}{8}\right)\end{aligned}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2$ positive arbitrary small and all $j \ge 1$. Further, the fact that

$$\sup_{s\in J_j} Var(B^\delta(s)-B^\lambda(s)) = \sup_{s\in J_j}\sigma^2(\delta\lfloor s/\delta\rfloor-\lambda\lfloor s/\lambda\rfloor) < C$$

for all j, that is, the variance is bounded implies (use Borell-TIS inequality, see e.g., Adler and Taylor (2007))

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{p\sup_{s\in J_j}|B^\delta(s)-B^\lambda(s)|}\right\} \le C$$

for any $p > 1$ and all j. Consequently, by the Hölder inequality for $q = 1+1/(p-1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_j(A) &\le \frac{1}{\lambda}e^{\kappa_\lambda(j)}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{p\sup_{s\in J_j}|B^\delta(s)-B^\lambda(s)|+p\sup_{s\in J_j}|B^\eta(s)-B^\lambda(s)|}\right\}\right)^{1/p}\\ &\quad\times\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{R^{\delta,\eta}_{u,T}(j) > A\right\}\right)^{1/(pq)}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{s\in J_j} B^\delta(s) \ge \inf_{s\in J_j}\sigma^2(\delta\lfloor s/\delta\rfloor)/2\right\}\right)^{1/q^2}\\ &\le C\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{R^{\delta,\eta}_{u,T}(j) > A\right\}\right)^{1/(pq)}\exp\left(\kappa_\lambda(j)-(1-\varepsilon_2)\frac{c^2\ell(a_j+1)}{8q^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Further, by our assumptions on ℓ and c, for all j large and $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_\lambda(j) &= \sup_{s\in J_j}\left|\sigma^2(s)-\sigma^2(\lambda\lfloor s/\lambda\rfloor)\right|\\ &\le \max\left(\ell(a_j+1)-c\ell(\lambda\lfloor a_j/\lambda\rfloor), \ell(\lambda\lfloor(a_j+1)/\lambda\rfloor)-c\ell(a_j)\right)\\ &\le (1-c+\varepsilon_3)\ell(a_j+1).\end{aligned}$$

Choose $q>1$ sufficiently close to 1. Then, by the assumption $c^2+8c-8>0$ and in view of (20), we can find a constant $B>1$ and take $\varepsilon_i>0, i\le 4$, sufficiently small such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j\ge 1}\exp\left(\kappa_\lambda(j)-(1-\varepsilon_2)\frac{c^2}{8q^2}\ell(a_j+1)\right)\\
&\quad\le \sum_{j\ge 1}\exp\left(-\Big((1-\varepsilon_2)\frac{c^2}{8q^2}-(1-c+\varepsilon_3)\Big)\ell(a_j+1)\right)\\
&\quad\le \sum_{j\ge 1}\exp\left(-(c^2+8c-8-\varepsilon_4)\ell(a_j)\right)\\
&\quad\le \sum_{j\ge 1} e^{-B\ln a_j}=\sum_{j\ge 1}\frac{1}{a_j^B}<\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j\ge 1}\pi_j(A)\le \sum_{j\ge 1} C\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{R^{\delta,\eta}_{u,T}(j)>A\right\}\right)^{1/(pq)}\frac{1}{a_j^B}\to 0,\quad A\to\infty,$$

which concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3: The idea of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2, with slight modifications which we analyze below. We use the same notation as in the proof of the aforementioned theorem and focus on the case that $uT,(1-u)T\in\mathbb{Z}$. *Case* $\eta=0$. Since $\delta=0$ in this case, we set $\lambda=1$ and observe that, on the event $\{M^\delta=M^\delta_j\}$,

$$R^{0,0}_{u,T}\ \le\ e^{2\sup_{s\in J_j}|W(s)-W^1(s)|}=:\widehat{R}^{0,0}_{u,T}(j)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\{R^{0,0}_{u,T};R^{0,0}_{u,T}>A\right\}\ &\le\ \mathbb{E}\left\{R^{0,0}_{u,T};R^{0,0}_{u,T}>A,M^0_0=M^0\right\}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\mathbb{E}\left\{\widehat{R}^{0,0}_{u,T}(j);\widehat{R}^{0,0}_{u,T}(j)>A,M^0_j\ge 1\right\}\\
&=:\ \mathbb{E}\left\{R^{0,0}_{u,T};R^{0,0}_{u,T}>A,M^0_0=M^0\right\}+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\widehat{\pi}_j(A).
\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2, $\lim_{A\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left\{M^0_0/S^0_0;M^0_0/S^0_0>A\right\}=0$ since $\mathbb{E}\left\{M^0_0/S^0_0\right\}<\infty$. Thus we focus on an upper bound for $\widehat{\pi}_j(A)$. By the same argument as given in the proof of Theorem 2, for any $p>1$ and $q=1+1/(p-1)$,

$$\widehat{\pi}_j(A)\ \le\ \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{2p\sup_{s\in J_j}|W(s)-W^1(s)|}\right\}\right)^{1/p}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{\widehat{R}^{0,0}_{u,T}(j)>A\right\}\right)^{1/(pq)}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{s\in J_j}W(s)\ge 1\right\}\right)^{1/q^2}.$$

Suppose that $j\ge 1$. By (2.1) in Willekens (1987) (see also Lemma 9.1 in Dębicki and Mandjes (2015)), for each $u>u_0>0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{s\in[0,1)}W(s)>u\right\}\le\frac{\mathbb{P}\{W(1)>u-u_0\}}{\mathbb{P}\left\{\inf_{s\in[0,1)}W(s)>-u_0\right\}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\inf_{s\in[0,1)} W(s) < -u\right\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{-W(1) > u - u_0\right\}}{\mathbb{P}\left\{\inf_{s\in[0,1)} -W(s) > -u_0\right\}},$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{2p\sup_{s\in J_j}|W(s)-W^1(s)|}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{2p\sup_{s\in[0,1)}|W(s)|}\right\} \leq C_1\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{2p|W(1)|}\right\} < \infty \tag{31}$$

for sufficiently small $p > 1$ and some $C > 0$.

Next, in order to derive a tight upper bound for $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in J_j} W(t) > 1\right\}$, as $j \to \infty$, let us recall that $W(t) = B^+(t) - \Phi(1)t$, for $t \geq 0$, and observe that $\mathbb{E}\{W(1)\} = \mathbb{E}\{B^+(1) - \Phi(1)\} < 0$.

Let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi(1) - \mathbb{E}\{B^+(1)\}) > 0$ and introduce the following Lévy process $L(t) := W(t) + \varepsilon t$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathbb{E}\{L(1)\} < 0$ and for $\Phi_L(\theta) := \ln \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\theta L(1)}\right\}$ we have

$$\Phi_L(0) = 0, \quad \Phi_L'(0) = \mathbb{E}\{L(1)\} < 0$$

and $\Phi_L(1) = \varepsilon > 0$. Hence, there exists $1 > \gamma > 0$ such that $\Phi_L(\gamma) = 0$ and $\Phi_L'(\gamma) < \infty$. Now, following, e.g., Theorem 2.6 from Asmussen and Albrecher (2010)

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in J_j} W(t) > 1\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} (B^+(t) - t(\Phi(1) - \varepsilon)) > \varepsilon j\right\} \leq \mathrm{C}e^{-\gamma\varepsilon j} \tag{32}$$

for some $\mathrm{C} \in (0, \infty)$ and all $j \geq 1$. Therefore, combining (31) with (32), we get

$$\sum_{j\geq 1} \widehat{\pi}_j(A) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \mathrm{A} \to \infty.$$

The proof that $\lim_{A\to\infty} \sum_{j\leq -1} \widehat{\pi}_j(A) = 0$ follows by the same argument, with the use of the fact that $W(t) = W^-(-t)$ if $t < 0$, with $\ln \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\theta W^-(1)}\right\} = \Phi(1-\theta) - (1-\theta)\Phi(1)$.

Case $\eta > 0$. We set $a_j := \eta j$ and observe that, on the event $\{M^\delta = M_j^\delta\}$ (assume that $uT, (1-u)T \in \mathbb{Z}$),

$$R_{u,T}^{\delta,\eta} \leq \frac{M_j^\delta}{S_j^\eta} = \frac{M_j^\delta}{M_j^\eta}\frac{M_j^\eta}{S_j^\eta} \leq \frac{1}{\eta} e^{\sup_{s\in J_j}|W^\delta(s)-W^\eta(s)|} \leq \frac{1}{\eta} e^{\sup_{s\in J_j}|W(s)-W^\eta(s)|}.$$

The rest of the proof goes line by line the same as the proof of case $\eta = 0$, with the use of the fact that if $\eta > 1$, then $\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{p|W(\eta)|}\right\} \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{p|W(1)|}\right\}\right)^{\lceil\eta\rceil}$. This completes the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem 4: For an M3 process as above, the finite dimensional distributions of ξ_W^δ for $t_i \in \delta\mathbb{Z}, x_i \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as

$$-\ln\mathbb{P}\left\{\xi_W^\delta(t_1) \leq x_1, \ldots, \xi_W^\delta(t_n) \leq x_n\right\} = C_W^\delta \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{r\in\mathbb{R}} \max_{j=1,\ldots,n} \exp\left(F_W^\delta(t_j - r) - x_j\right) \nu_\delta(dr)\right\}. \tag{33}$$

Since ξ_W has càdlàg paths by assumption, we have for any compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$-\ln \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap E} \xi_W^\delta(t) \le 0\right\} = C_W^\delta \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{r\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap E} \exp\left(F_W^\delta(t-r)\right)\, \nu_\delta(dr)\right\},$$

which, in view of equation (5), implies

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{C_W^\delta}{T} \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{r\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} \exp\left(F_W^\delta(t-r)\right)\, \nu_\delta(dr)\right\}. \tag{34}$$

Set $T_\delta = T$ if $\delta = 0$ and $T_\delta = \delta\lfloor T/\delta \rfloor$ otherwise. For any fixed $T > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{r\in\mathbb{R}} \sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} \exp\left(F_W^\delta(t-r)\right)\, \nu_\delta(dr)\right\}$$
$$\ge \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-T_\delta}^{0} \sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} \exp\left(F_W^\delta(t-r)\right)\, \nu_\delta(dr)\right\} dr = T_\delta,$$

since by the assumption $\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} F_W^\delta(t) = F_W^\delta(0) = 0$ almost surely, for any $r \in [-T_\delta, 0]$ we have

$$\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}\cap[0,T]} \exp\left(F_W^\delta(t-r)\right) = \exp\left(F_W^\delta(0)\right) = 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{H}_W^\delta \ge C_W^\delta \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{T_\delta}{T} = C_W^\delta.$$

We show next (27) for $\delta = 0$. Theorem 4.1 in Engelke et al. (2014) implies that the process W with $W(0) = 0$ almost surely, can be obtained by the M3 representation in terms of the shape function as

$$\mathbb{P}\{W \in L\} = C_W \int_D \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}\{f(\cdot + s) - f(s) \in L\}\, e^{f(s)} ds \mathbb{P}_{F_W}(df),$$

where L is an arbitrary Borel subset of D. Consequently, for any $\mathbb{P}_W$-integrable functional $\Gamma : D \to \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{\Gamma(W)\} = C_W \int_D \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(f(\cdot + s) - f(s)) e^{f(s)} ds \mathbb{P}_{F_W}(df).$$

Let now Γ be given by the mapping (on a suitable subspace of D with full $\mathbb{P}_W$ measure)

$$f \mapsto \frac{\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} e^{f(t)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{f(t)} dt},$$

and observe

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} e^{W(t)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{W(t)} dt}\right\} &= C_W \int_D \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} e^{f(t+s)-f(s)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{f(t+s)-f(s)} dt} e^{f(s)} ds \mathbb{P}_{F_W}(df) \\
&= C_W \int_D \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{f(s)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{f(t+s)} dt} ds \mathbb{P}_{F_W}(df) \\
&= C_W \in (0, \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last equality follows from the assumption that $\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} F_W(t) = 0$ a.s. In the case $\delta > 0$ we can use the same arguments together with Theorem 5. □

5. Appendix

The notion of a mixed moving maxima process on $\mathbb{R}$ defined in (24) can be extended to the lattice $\delta\mathbb{Z}$; see for instance Remark 7 in Oesting et al. (2012). Suppose that $\{\xi_W^\delta(t), t \in \delta\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a stationary max-stable process (with standard Gumbel margins) given by the construction (6) with a process W, restricted to $\delta\mathbb{Z}$. Further suppose that $W(0) = 0$ almost surely and let F_i^δ be independent copies of a process F_W^δ on $\delta\mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\sup_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} F_W^\delta(t) = F_W^\delta(0) = 0$$

almost surely, and

$$C_W^\delta = \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} \exp(F_W^\delta(t))\right\}\right)^{-1} \in (0,\infty). \tag{35}$$

We say that ξ_W^δ admits an M3 representation, if

$$\xi_W^\delta(t) = \max_{i\geq 0}(F_i^\delta(t - P_i^\delta) + Q_i^\delta), \quad t \in \delta\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \epsilon_{(P_i^\delta, Q_i^\delta)}$ is a Poisson point process with intensity $C_W^\delta \nu_\delta(dt)\, e^{-x}dx$. Here ν_δ/δ is the counting measure on $\delta\mathbb{Z}$. Below we present the counterpart of Theorem 4.1 in Engelke et al. (2014) for M3 processes on lattices. We omit its proof since it follows with the same arguments as the aforementioned one.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that the max-stable and stationary process ξ_W has càdlàg sample paths. The process $W^\delta, \delta > 0$, the restriction of W to $\delta\mathbb{Z}$, can be expressed in terms of the spectral function F^δ as*

$$\mathbb{P}(W^\delta \in L) = C_W^\delta \mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{t\in\delta\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}\left\{F_W^\delta(\cdot + t) - F_W^\delta(t) \in L\right\} \exp(F_W^\delta(t))\right\},$$

which is well-defined probability measure by (35).

Acknowledgment

We kindly acknowledge financial support by the Swiss National Science Foundation and partial support by the project RARE-318984 (an FP7 Marie Curie IRSES Fellowship). KD also acknowledges partial support by NCN Grant No 2015/17/B/ST1/01102 (2016-2018).

References

R.J. Adler and J.E. Taylor. *Random fields and geometry.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2007.

S. Asmussen and H. Albrecher. *Ruin probabilities*, volume 14. World Scientific, 2010.

S.M. Berman. Sojourns and extremes of stationary processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 10(1): 1–46, 1982.

S.M. Berman. *Sojourns and extremes of stochastic processes.* The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Statistics/Probability Series. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Pacific Grove, CA, 1992.

P. Billingsley. *Convergence of Probability Measures.* John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1968.

R.A. Davis, T. Mikosch, and Y. Zhao. Measures of serial extremal dependence and their estimation. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(7):2575–2602, 2013.

K. Dębicki and P. Kisowski. A note on upper estimates for Pickands constants. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 78(14):2046–2051, 2008.

K. Dębicki. Ruin probability for gaussian integrated processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 98(1):151–174, 2002.

K. Dębicki. Some properties of generalized Pickands constants. *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, 50(2):396–404, 2005.

K. Dębicki and M. Mandjes. *Queues and Lévy fluctuation theory*. Springer, 2015.

K. Dębicki, Z. Michna, and T. Rolski. Simulation of the asymptotic constant in some fluid models. *Stochastic Models*, 19(3):407–423, 2003.

K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and K. Tabiś. Extremes of vector-valued Gaussian processes: Exact asymptotics. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 125(11):4039–4065, 2015.

A.B. Dieker and T. Mikosch. Exact simulation of Brown-Resnick random fields at a finite number of locations. *Extremes*, 18:301–314, 2015.

A.B. Dieker and B. Yakir. On asymptotic constants in the theory of extremes for Gaussian processes. *Bernoulli*, 20(3):1600–1619, 2014.

C. Dombry and Z. Kabluchko. Random tessellations associated with max-stable random fields. *arXiv:1410.2584v2 [math.PR]*, 2014.

C. Dombry and Z. Kabluchko. Ergodic decompositions of stationary max-stable processes in terms of their spectral functions. *arXiv:1601.00792 [math.PR]*, 2016.

C. Dombry, S. Engelke, and M. Oesting. Exact simulation of max-stable processes. *Biometrika*, 2016. To appear.

S. Engelke and J. Ivanovs. A Lévy process on the real line seen from its supremum and max-stable processes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.3443*, 2014.

S. Engelke and Z. Kabluchko. Max-stable processes associated with stationary systems of independent Lévy particles. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 125(11):4272–4299, 2015.

S. Engelke, Z. Kabluchko, and M. Schlather. An equivalent representation of the Brown–Resnick process. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 81:1150 – 1154, 2011.

S. Engelke, A. Malinowski, M. Oesting, and M. Schlather. Statistical inference for max-stable processes by conditioning on extreme events. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 46(2):478–495, 2014.

S. Engelke, Z. Kabluchko, and M. Schlather. Maxima of independent, non-identically distributed Gaussian vectors. *Bernoulli*, 21:38–61, 2015.

E.A. Feinberg, P.O. Kasyanov, and N.V. Zadoianchuk. Fatous lemma for weakly converging probabilities. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 58(4):683–689, 2014.

A.J. Harper. Bounds on the suprema of Gaussian processes, and omega results for the sum of a random multiplicative function. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 23(2):584–616, 2013.

A.J. Harper. Pickands' constant h_α does not equal $1/\gamma(1/\alpha)$, for small α. *Bernoulli, accepted*, 2015.

J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. Extremes of a certain class of Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 83(2):257–271, 1999.

Z. Kabluchko. Spectral representations of sum- and max-stable processes. *Extremes*, 12:401–424, 2009.

Z. Kabluchko. Extremes of independent Gaussian processes. *Extremes*, 14:285–310, 2011.

Z. Kabluchko, M. Schlather, and L. de Haan. Stationary max-stable fields associated to negative definite functions. *Ann. Probab.*, 37:2042–2065, 2009.

M. R. Leadbetter. Extremes and local dependence in stationary sequences. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 65:291–306, 1983.

M.B. Marcus. Upper bounds for the asymptotic maxima of continuous Gaussian processes. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 43:522–533, 1972.

I. Molchanov and K. Stucki. Stationarity of multivariate particle systems. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(6):2272–2285, 2013.

I. Molchanov, M. Schmutz, and K. Stucki. Invariance properties of random vectors and stochastic processes based on the zonoid concept. *Bernoulli*, 20(3):1210–1233, 2014.

M. Oesting, Z. Kabluchko, and M. Schlather. Simulation of Brown-Resnick processes. *Extremes*, 15(1):89–107, 2012.

J. Pickands, III. Asymptotic properties of the maximum in a stationary Gaussian process. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145:75–86, 1969.

V.I. Piterbarg. *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*, volume 148. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. ISBN 0-8218-0423-5.

V.I. Piterbarg. Discrete and continuous time extremes of Gaussian processes. *Extremes*, 7(2):161–177 (2005), 2004. ISSN 1386-1999.

V.I. Piterbarg. *Twenty Lectures About Gaussian Processes*. Atlantic Financial Press, London, New York, 2015.

G. Samorodnitsky. Probability tails of Gaussian extrema. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 38(1):55–84, 1991.

Q.M. Shao. Bounds and estimators of a basic constant in extreme value theory of gaussian processes. *Statistica Sinica*, 6:245–258, 1996.

S.A. Stoev. On the ergodicity and mixing of max-stable processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 118:1679–1705, 2008.

S.A. Stoev. Max–stable processes: Representations, ergodic properties and statistical applications. *Dependence in Probability and Statistics, Lecture Notes in Statistics 200, Doukhan, P., Lang, G., Surgailis, D., Teyssiere, G. (Eds.)*, 200: 21–42, 2010.

K. Strokorb, F. Ballani, and M. Schlather. Tail correlation functions of max-stable processes: construction principles, recovery and diversity of some mixing max-stable processes with identical TCF. *Extremes*, 18(2):241–271, 2015.

Y. Wang and S.A. Stoev. On the structure and representations of max-stable processes. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 42(3):855–877, 2010.

E. Willekens. On the supremum of an infinitely divisible process. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 26:173–175, 1987.

Krzysztof Dębicki, Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail address: Krzysztof.Debicki@math.uni.wroc.pl

Sebastian Engelke, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL-FSB-MATHAA-STAT, Station 8, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
E-mail address: sebastian.engelke@epfl.ch

Enkelejd Hashorva, University of Lausanne, Bâtiment Extranef, UNIL-Dorigny, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
E-mail address: Enkelejd.Hashorva@unil.ch